arXiv:1412.6539v3 [math.CV] 3 Jan 2015

ON OPENNESS AND DISCRETENESS OF MAPPINGS SATISFYING ONE INEQUALITY WITH RESPECT TO p-MODULUS

E. SEVOST'YANOV

January 8, 2015

Abstract

A paper is devoted to study of topological properties of some class of space mappings. It is showed that, sense preserving mappings $f : D \to \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ of a domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 2$, satisfying some modulus inequality with respect to p-modulus of families of curves, are open and discrete at some restrictions on a function Q, which determinate inequality mentioned above.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30C65; Secondary 30C62

1 Preliminaries

The present paper is devoted to the study of quasiconformal mappings and their generalizations, such as mappings with finite distortion intensively investigated last time, see [BGMV], [BGR], [Cr$_1$]–[Cr$_2$], [Gol$_1$]–[Gol$_2$], [IM], [IR], [KO], [MRSY$_1$]–[MRSY$_2$], [Mikl], [Pol], [Re$_1$]–[Re$_2$], [Ri], [RSY$_1$]–[RSY$_2$], [UV], [Va$_1$]–[Va$_2$].

Let us give some definitions. Everywhere below, D is a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, m is the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $m(A)$ the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. A mapping $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is *discrete* if $f^{-1}(y)$ consists of isolated points for each $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and f is *open* if it maps open sets onto open sets. The notation $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ assumes that f is continuous. A mapping f is said to be *orientation preserving,* if the topological index $\mu(y, f, G) > 0$ for an arbitrary domain $G \subset D$ such that $\overline{G} \subset D$ and $y \in f(G) \setminus f(\partial G)$, see e.g. [Re$_2$, II.2]. Given a mapping $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$, a set $E \subset D$ and a point $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we define the multiplicity function $N(y, f, E)$ as the number of pre-images of y in E, i.e.,

$$N(y, f, E) = \text{card } \{x \in E : f(x) = y\}$$

and

$$N(f, E) = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} N(y, f, E)\,.$$

A set $H \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is called *totally disconnected*, if every it's component degenerate to a point; in this case we write $\dim H = 0$, where dim denotes *a topological dimension* of H (see [HW]). A mapping $f : D \to \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is said to be *light*, if $\dim \{f^{-1}(y)\} = 0$ for every $y \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$. Set

$$B(x_0, r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - x_0| < r\}\,, \quad \mathbb{B}^n := B(0,1)\,, \quad \mathbb{S}^{n-1} := S(0,1)\,,$$

Ω_n is a volume of the unit ball $\mathbb{B}^n$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and ω_{n-1} is an area of the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

A curve γ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is a continuous mapping $\gamma : \Delta \to \mathbb{R}^n$ where Δ is an interval in $\mathbb{R}$. Its locus $\gamma(\Delta)$ is denoted by $|\gamma|$. Given a family Γ of curves γ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, a Borel function $\rho : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty]$ is called *admissible* for Γ, abbr. $\rho \in \operatorname{adm}\Gamma$, if

$$\int\limits_{\gamma} \rho(x)|dx| \geq 1$$

for each (locally rectifiable) $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Given $p \geq 1$, the *p–modulus* of Γ is defined as the quantity

$$M_p(\Gamma) := \inf_{\rho \in \operatorname{adm}\Gamma} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho^p(x) dm(x)$$

interpreted as $+\infty$ if $\operatorname{adm}\Gamma = \varnothing$. Note that $M_p(\varnothing) = 0$; $M_p(\Gamma_1) \leq M_p(\Gamma_2)$ whenever $\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma_2$, and $M_p\left(\bigcup\limits_{i=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_i\right) \leq \sum\limits_{i=1}^{\infty} M_p(\Gamma_i)$, see [Va$_1$, Theorem 6.2].

Denote $\Gamma(E, F, D)$ a family of all paths $\gamma : [a, b] \to \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$, which join E and F in D, i.e., $\gamma(a) \in E, \gamma(b) \in F$ and $\gamma(t) \in D$ при $t \in (a, b)$.

A following fact was established in our recent paper [Sev$_1$]. Let f be a mapping of a domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 2$, into $\mathbb{R}^n$ obeying a condition

$$M(\Gamma) \leqslant \int\limits_{f(D)} Q(y) \cdot \rho_*^n(y) dm(y) \tag{1.1}$$

for every $\rho_* \in \operatorname{adm} f(\Gamma)$ with respect to a conformal modulus $M(\Gamma) := M_n(\Gamma)$ and a given function $Q : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty]$, $Q(x) \equiv 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus f(D)$. Then f is open and discrete whenever Q satisfies some conditions. Given $y_0 \in f(D)$ and numbers $0 < r_1 < r_2 < \infty$, we denote

$$A(r_1, r_2, y_0) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : r_1 < |y - y_0| < r_2\}\,. \tag{1.2}$$

A goal of the present paper is to prove an analogous result in the situation, when $n - 1 < p \leqslant n$. Namely, given $y_0 \in f(D)$ and $0 < r_1 < r_2 < \infty$, denote $\Gamma(y_0, r_1, r_2)$ a family of paths in D such that $f(\Gamma) \in \Gamma(S(y_0, r_1), S(y_0, r_2), A(r_1, r_2, y_0))$. Instead of (1.1), assume that f satisfies the inequality

$$M_p(\Gamma(y_0, r_1, r_2)) \leqslant \int\limits_{f(D)} Q(y) \cdot \eta^p(y) dm(y) \tag{1.3}$$

for some $p \in (n-1, n]$, every $y_0 \in f(D)$, every $0 < r_1 < r_2 < \infty$, and every nonnegative Lebesgue measurable function $\eta : (r_1, r_2) \to [0, \infty]$ with

$$\int\limits_{r_1}^{r_2} \eta(r)dr \geqslant 1\,. \tag{1.4}$$

Observe that the inequality (1.3) is more weaker than (1.1) even at $p = n$. In fact, let $\rho_* \in \operatorname{adm} f(\Gamma)$, and assume that the relation (1.1) holds. Let $\eta : (r_1, r_2) \to [0, \infty]$ be a Lebesgue measurable function which satisfies (1.4). Set $\rho_*(y) := \eta(|y - y_0|)$, $A = A(r_1, r_2, y_0)$, $S_1 = S(y_0, r_1)$ and $S_2 = S(y_0, r_2)$. Observe that $\rho_* \in \Gamma(S_1, S_2, A)$ since $\int\limits_{\gamma} \rho_*(y)\,|dy| \geqslant \int\limits_{r_1}^{r_2} \eta(t)dt \geqslant 1$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma(S_1, S_2, A)$ by [Va$_1$, теорема 5.7]. Consequently, we can substitute ρ_* in (1.1), whence we obtain (1.3).

The present paper is devoted to investigation of the following question:

What kind of connection between the discreteness of f and the estimate (1.3) at some $n - 1 < p \leqslant n$?

An answer is given bellow. As we noted above, a case $p = n$ is studied in [Sev$_1$] earlier .

Let $Q : D \to [0, \infty]$ be a Lebesgue measurable function, then $q_{x_0}(r)$ denotes an integral average of $Q(x)$ under the sphere $S(x_0, r)$,

$$q_{x_0}(r) := \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1} r^{n-1}} \int\limits_{|x-x_0|=r} Q(x)\, dS\,, \tag{1.5}$$

where dS – denotes an element of area of S. We say that a function $\varphi : D \to \mathbb{R}$ has *a finite mean oscillation* at a point $x_0 \in D$, write $\varphi \in FMO(x_0)$, if

$$\varlimsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\Omega_n \varepsilon^n} \int\limits_{B(x_0, \varepsilon)} |\varphi(x) - \overline{\varphi}_\varepsilon|\, dm(x) < \infty,$$

where $\overline{\varphi}_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\Omega_n \varepsilon^n} \int\limits_{B(x_0, \varepsilon)} \varphi(x)\, dm(x)$ (see e.g. [MRSY$_2$, section 6.1]). A main result of the present paper is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $Q : \mathbb{R}^n \to (0, \infty)$ be a Lebesgue measurable function equal to 0 outside of $f(D)$, and let $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a sense-preserving mapping obeying (1.3) for every $y_0 \in f(D)$, every $0 < r_1 < r_2 < \infty$, some $p \in (n-1, n]$ and every nonnegative Lebesgue measurable function $\eta : (r_1, r_2) \to [0, \infty]$ obeying (1.4). Then f is discrete and open whenever the function Q satisfies at least one of the following conditions:*

1) $Q \in FMO(y_0)$ for every $y_0 \in f(D)$,

2) $q_{y_0}(r) = O\left(\left[\log \frac{1}{r}\right]^{n-1}\right)$ as $r \to 0$ and every $y_0 \in f(D)$, where a function $q_{y_0}(r)$ is defined by (1.5),

3) for every $y_0 \in f(D)$ there exists $\delta(y_0) > 0$ such that for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\int\limits_{\varepsilon}^{\delta(y_0)} \frac{dt}{t^{\frac{n-1}{p-1}} q_{y_0}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(t)} < \infty, \qquad \int\limits_{0}^{\delta(y_0)} \frac{dt}{t^{\frac{n-1}{p-1}} q_{y_0}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(t)} = \infty\,. \tag{1.6}$$

Remark 1.1. Theorem 1.1 holds for mappings $f : D \to \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$, also. In this case, we need require the conditions 1)–3) at $y_0 = 0$ for $\widetilde{f} = f \circ \varphi$, where $\varphi(x) = \frac{x}{|x|^2}$, $\varphi : \infty \mapsto 0$.

2 Main lemma

A continuum is called a connected compactum $C \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$. We say that a family of paths Γ_1 *is minorized* by a family Γ_2, write $\Gamma_1 > \Gamma_2$, if for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$ there exists a subpath which belongs to Γ_2. In this case, $M_p(\Gamma_1) \leqslant M_p(\Gamma_2)$ (see [Va_1, Theorem 6.4]).

Now we need some information from a theory of general metric spaces. Let (X, μ) be a metric space with measure μ. For each real number $n \geq 1$, we define *the Loewner function* $\phi_n : (0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ on X as

$$\phi_n(t) = \inf\{M_n(\Gamma(E, F, X)) : \Delta(E, F) \leqslant t\}\,,$$

where E and F are disjoint nondegenerate continua in X with

$$\Delta(E, F) := \frac{\operatorname{dist}(E, F)}{\min\{\operatorname{diam} E, \operatorname{diam} F\}}\,.$$

A pathwise connected metric measure space (X, μ) is said to be a *Loewner space* of exponent n, or an n-Loewner space, if the Loewner function $\phi_n(t)$ is positive for all $t > 0$ (see [MRSY_2, section 2.5] or [He, Ch. 8]). Observe that $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{B}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ are Loewner spaces (see [He, Theorem 8.2 and Example 8.24(a)]). As known, a condition $\mu(B(x_0, r)) \geqslant C \cdot r^n$ holds in Loewner spaces X for every point $x_0 \in X$, for some constant C and all $r < \operatorname{diam} X$. A space X is called *geodesic*, if every pair of points in X can be joined by a curve whose length is equal to the distance between the points. In particular, $\mathbb{B}^n$ is a geodesic space. A following definition can be found in [He, section 1.4, ch. I] or [AS, section 1]. A measure μ in a metric space is called doubling if balls have finite and positive measure and there is a constant $C(\mu) \geqslant 1$ such that $\mu(B(x_0, 2r)) \leq C \cdot \mu(B(x_0, r))$ for every $r > 0$ and every $x_0 \in X$. We also call a metric measure space (X, μ) *doubling* if μ is a doubling measure. Following [He, section 7.22], given a real-valued function u in a metric space X, a Borel function $\rho\colon X \to [0, \infty]$ is said to be an upper gradient of u if $|u(x) - u(y)| \leqslant \int\limits_\gamma \rho\,|dx|$ for each rectifiable curve γ joining x and y in X. Let (X, μ) be a metric measure space and let $1 \leqslant p < \infty$. We say that X admits *a* $(1; p)$*-Poincare inequality* if there is constant $C \geqslant 1$ so that

$$\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int\limits_B |u - u_B| d\mu(x) \leqslant C \cdot (\operatorname{diam} B) \left(\frac{1}{\mu(\tau B)} \int\limits_{\tau B} \rho^n d\mu(x) \right)^{1/n}$$

for all balls B in X, for all bounded continuous functions u on B, and for all upper gradients ρ of u. Metric measure spaces where formula

$$\frac{1}{C} R^n \leqslant \mu(B(x_0, R)) \leqslant C R^n$$

holds for every $x_0 \in X$, some constant $C \geqslant 1$ and all $R < \operatorname{diam} X$ are called *Ahlfors n-regular.*

A following statement holds.

Proposition 2.1. The unit ball $\mathbb{B}^n$ is Ahlfors n-regular metric space, in which $(1;n)$-Poincare inequality holds. Moreover, the estimate

$$M_p(\Gamma(E,F,\mathbb{B}^n)) > 0 \tag{2.1}$$

holds for any continua $E, F \subset \mathbb{B}^n$ and every $p \in (n-1, n]$.

Proof. By comments given above, the unit ball $\mathbb{B}^n$ is Ahlfors n-regular, moreover, a space $\mathbb{B}^n$ is geodesic and is a Loewner space. By [He, Theorems 9.8 and 9.5], $(1;n)$-Poincare inequality holds in $\mathbb{B}^n$. In this case, (2.1) holds by [AS, Corollary 4.8]. $\Box$

Let $A(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0, y_0)$ be defined by (1.2) at $r_1 = \varepsilon$ and $r_2 = \varepsilon_0$. A following lemma includes the main result of the present paper in the most general situation.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $Q : \mathbb{R}^n \to (0,\infty)$ be a Lebesgue measurable function equal to 0 outside of $f(D)$, and let $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a sense-preserving mapping obeying (1.3) for every $y_0 \in f(D)$, every $0 < r_1 < r_2 < \infty$, some $p \in (n-1, n]$ and every nonnegative Lebesgue measurable function $\eta : (r_1, r_2) \to [0,\infty]$ obeying (1.4).*

If, for every $y_0 \in D$ and some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$,

$$\int\limits_{A(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_0,y_0)} Q(y)\cdot \psi^p(|y-y_0|)\, dm(y) \,=\, o\left(I^p(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_0)\right) \tag{2.2}$$

where $\psi(t) : (0,\infty) \to [0,\infty]$ is some nonnegative Lebesgue measurable function such that

$$0 < I(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_0) := \int\limits_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon_0} \psi(t)dt < \infty \qquad \forall \varepsilon \in (0,\varepsilon_0)\,, \tag{2.3}$$

then f is open and discrete.

Remark 2.1. In the conditions of Lemma 2.1, we can consider that $\int\limits_{\varepsilon}^{A} \psi(t)dt > 0$ for every $\varepsilon \in (0, A)$ and some fixed A with $0 < A < \varepsilon_0$. In fact, the integral (2.2) increase at decreasing of ε. Now, since $Q(x) > 0$ a.e., we obtain from (2.2) and (2.3) that $\int\limits_{\varepsilon}^{A} \psi(t)dt \to \infty$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

A proof of Lemma 2.1. Without loss of generality, we can consider that $D = \mathbb{B}^n$. Since every light sense-preserving mapping $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is open and discrete in D, see e.g., [TY, Corollary, p. 333], it is sufficiently to prove that f is light. Suppose a contrary. Then there exists $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that a set $\{f^{-1}(y_0)\}$ is not totally disconnected. Now, there exists a continuum $C \subset \{f^{-1}(y_0)\}$. Since f is a sense-preserving, $f \not\equiv y_0$. By theorem on a preserving of a sign, there exists $x_0 \in D$ and $\delta_0 > 0 : \overline{B(x_0,\delta_0)} \subset D$ and

$$f(x) \neq y_0 \qquad \forall \quad x \in \overline{B(x_0,\delta_0)}\,. \tag{2.4}$$

By [Na, Lemma 1.15] at $p=n$, and Proposition 2.1 at $p\in(n-1,n)$,

$$M_p\left(\Gamma\left(C,\overline{B(x_0,\delta_0)},\mathbb{B}^n\right)\right)>0\,. \tag{2.5}$$

By (2.4), since $f(C)=\{y_0\}$, every path of $\Delta=f\left(\Gamma\left(C,\overline{B(x_0,\delta_0)},\mathbb{B}^n\right)\right)$ does not degenerate to a point. From other hand, an endpoint of every path of Δ is y_0. Let Γ_i be a family of paths $\alpha_i(t):(0,1)\rightarrow\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\alpha_i(1)\in S(y_0,r_i)$, $r_i<\varepsilon_0$, r_i is some strictly positive sequence with $r_i\rightarrow 0$ as $i\rightarrow\infty$, and $\alpha_i(t)\rightarrow y_0$ as $t\rightarrow 0$. Now

$$\Gamma\left(C,\overline{B(x_0,\delta_0)},\mathbb{B}^n\right)=\bigcup\limits_{i=1}^{\infty}\Gamma_i^*\,, \tag{2.6}$$

where Γ_i^* is a subfamily of all paths γ from $\Gamma\left(C,\overline{B(x_0,\delta_0)},\mathbb{B}^n\right)$, such that $f(\gamma)$ has a subpath in Γ_i. Observe that

$$\Gamma_i^*>\Gamma(\varepsilon,r_i,y_0) \tag{2.7}$$

for every $\varepsilon\in(0,r_i)$. Set

$$\eta_{i,\varepsilon}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{rr} \psi(t)/I(\varepsilon,r_i), & t\in(\varepsilon,r_i)\,,\\ 0, & t\notin(\varepsilon,r_i)\,, \end{array}\right.$$

where $I(\varepsilon,r_i)=\int\limits_{\varepsilon}^{r_i}\psi(t)dt$. Observe that $\int\limits_{\varepsilon}^{r_i}\eta_{i,\varepsilon}(t)dt=1$. Now we can apply (1.3). By (1.3) and (2.7),

$$M_p(\Gamma_i^*)\leqslant M_p(\Gamma(r_i,\varepsilon,y_0))\leqslant\int\limits_{A(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_0,y_0)}Q(y)\cdot\eta_{i,\varepsilon}^p(|y-y_0|)dm(y)\leqslant\mathfrak{F}_i(\varepsilon), \tag{2.8}$$

where $\mathfrak{F}_i(\varepsilon)=\frac{1}{I(\varepsilon,r_i)^p}\int\limits_{A(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_0,y_0)}Q(y)\,\psi^p(|y-y_0|)\,dm(y)$ и $I(\varepsilon,r_i)=\int\limits_{\varepsilon}^{r_i}\psi(t)dt$. By (2.2),

$$\int\limits_{A(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_0,y_0)}Q(y)\,\psi^p(|y-y_0|)\,dm(y)\,=\,G(\varepsilon)\cdot\left(\int\limits_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon_0}\psi(t)dt\right)^p\,,$$

where $G(\varepsilon)\rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon\rightarrow 0$ by assumption of Lemma. Observe that $\mathfrak{F}_i(\varepsilon)=G(\varepsilon)\cdot\left(1+\frac{\int\limits_{r_i}^{\varepsilon_0}\psi(t)dt}{\int\limits_{\varepsilon}^{r_i}\psi(t)dt}\right)^p$, where $\int\limits_{r_i}^{\varepsilon_0}\psi(t)dt<\infty$ is a fixed real number, and $\int\limits_{\varepsilon}^{r_i}\psi(t)dt\rightarrow\infty$ as $\varepsilon\rightarrow 0$, because left hand-part of (2.2) increase by a decreasing of ε. Thus, $\mathfrak{F}_i(\varepsilon)\rightarrow 0$. Taking a limit in (2.8) as $\varepsilon\rightarrow 0$, left hand-part of which does not depend on ε, we obtain that $M_p(\Gamma_i^*)=0$ for all positive integer i. However, in this case, by (2.6) and from that, $M_p\left(\bigcup\limits_{i=1}^{\infty}\Gamma_i\right)\leqslant\sum\limits_{i=1}^{\infty}M_p(\Gamma_i)$ ([Va$_1$, Theorem 6.2]), we obtain: $M_p\left(\Gamma\left(C,\overline{B(x_0,\delta_0)},\mathbb{B}^n\right)\right)=0$. The last contradicts to (2.5). The contradiction obtained above proves that f is light. Consequently, by [TY, Coroollary, p. 333], f is open and discrete. $\Box$

3 Proofs of main results

Now we show that *a proof of Theorem 1.1* follows from Lemma 2.1. In the case 1), when $Q\in FMO(y_0)$, consider a function $\psi(t)=\left(t\,\log\frac{1}{t}\right)^{-n/p}>0$ for which we apply Lemma 2.1. By [MRSY$_2$, Corollary 6.3, Ch. 6], we obtain

$$\int\limits_{\varepsilon<|y-y_0|<\varepsilon_0} Q(y)\cdot\psi^p(|y-y_0|)\,dm(y)\,=\,O\left(\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\,,\quad \varepsilon\to 0\,. \tag{3.1}$$

for $\varepsilon<\varepsilon_0$ and some $\varepsilon_0>0$. For $I(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_0)$, defined in Lemma 2.1, we have

$$I(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_0)=\int\limits_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon_0}\psi(t)dt>\log\frac{\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon_0}}. \tag{3.2}$$

Now, by (3.1),

$$\frac{1}{I^p(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_0)}\int\limits_{\varepsilon<|y-y_0|<\varepsilon_0} Q(x)\cdot\psi^p(|y-y_0|)dm(y)\leqslant C\left(\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1-p}\to 0,\quad \varepsilon\to 0\,,$$

that yields a desired conclusion in a case 1), because (2.2)–(2.3) are satisfied. Observe that a case 2) is a consequence of 3), thus, we can restrict us by consideration of a case 3). In this case, set

$$I=I(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_0)=\int\limits_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon_0}\frac{dr}{r^{\frac{n-1}{p-1}}q_{y_0}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(r)}\,. \tag{3.3}$$

Given $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_0<1$, set

$$\psi(t)=\begin{cases} 1/[t^{\frac{n-1}{p-1}}q_{y_0}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(t)]\,, & t\in(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_0)\,,\\ 0\,, & t\notin(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_0)\,.\end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

Observe that ψ satisfies all of the conditions of Lemma 2.1. By Fubini Theorem ([Sa, Theorem 8.1, Ch. III]), $\int\limits_{\varepsilon<|y-y_0|<\varepsilon_0} Q(y)\cdot\psi^p(|y-y_0|)\,dm(y)=\omega_{n-1}\cdot I(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_0)$ (where ω_{n-1} is an area of the unit sphere of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$). We conclude that (2.2)–(2.3) hold, that complete the proof. $\Box$

4 Corollaries

The following statements can be obtained from Lemma 2.1.

Corollary 4.1. *Let $Q:\mathbb{R}^n\to(0,\infty)$ be a Lebesgue measurable function equal to 0 outside of $f(D)$, and let $f:D\to\mathbb{R}^n$ be a sense-preserving mapping obeying (1.3) for every $y_0\in f(D)$, every $0<r_1<r_2<\infty$, some $p\in(n-1,n]$ and every nonnegative Lebesgue measurable function $\eta:(r_1,r_2)\to[0,\infty]$ obeying (1.4). Then f is discrete and open whenever the function Q satisfies the following conditions:*

1) $\int\limits_{\varepsilon}^{\delta_0} \frac{dt}{\frac{1}{tq_{y_0}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}(t)}} < \infty$ *for every* $y_0 \in f(D)$, *some* $\delta_0 = \delta_0(y_0)$ *and small enough* $\varepsilon > 0$, *and*

2) $\int\limits_{0}^{\delta_0} \frac{dt}{\frac{1}{tq_{y_0}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}(t)}} = \infty$ *for every* $y_0 \in f(D)$ *and some* $\delta_0 = \delta_0(y_0)$.

Proof. Set $\psi(t) = \begin{cases} \left(1/[tq_{y_0}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}(t)]\right)^{n/p}, & t \in (\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)\,, \\ 0\,, & t \notin (\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)\,, \end{cases}$ Arguing similarly with the proof of a case 3) of the Theorem 1.1, we obtain a desired conclusion. □

Let now $p \in (n-1, n)$.

Corollary 4.2. *Let* $Q : \mathbb{R}^n \to (0, \infty)$ *be a Lebesgue measurable function equal to 0 outside of* $f(D)$, *and let* $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ *be a sense-preserving mapping obeying (1.3) for every* $y_0 \in f(D)$, *every* $0 < r_1 < r_2 < \infty$, *some* $p \in (n-1, n]$ *and every nonnegative Lebesgue measurable function* $\eta : (r_1, r_2) \to [0, \infty]$ *obeying (1.4). Then* f *is discrete and open whenever the function* Q *satisfies the condition* $Q \in L^s_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *at some* $s \geqslant \frac{n}{n-p}$.

Proof. Fix $0 < \varepsilon_0 < \infty$. Given $y_0 \in f(D)$, set $G := B(y_0, \varepsilon_0)$ and $\psi(t) := 1/t$. Observe that ψ satisfies (2.3). It remains to verify (2.2) now. Applying Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\int\limits_{\varepsilon<|x-b|<\varepsilon_0} \frac{Q(x)}{|x-b|^p}\, dm(x) \leqslant \left(\int\limits_{\varepsilon<|x-b|<\varepsilon_0} \frac{1}{|x-b|^{pq}}\, dm(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int\limits_G Q^{q'}(x)\, dm(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{q'}}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $1/q + 1/q' = 1$. Observe that the integral in the left hand-part of (4.1) can be directly calculated. In fact, let $q' = \frac{n}{n-p}$ (and, consequently, $q = \frac{n}{p}$.) By Fubini theorem,

$$\int\limits_{\varepsilon<|x-b|<\varepsilon_0} \frac{1}{|x-b|^{pq}}\, dm(x) = \omega_{n-1} \int\limits_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon_0} \frac{dt}{t} = \omega_{n-1} \log \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon}\,.$$

Following to notions made in Lemma 2.1, we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{I^p(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)} \int\limits_{\varepsilon<|x-b|<\varepsilon_0} \frac{Q(x)}{|x-b|^p}\, dm(x) \leqslant \omega_{n-1}^{\frac{p}{n}} \|Q\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-p}}(G)} \left(\log \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-p+\frac{p}{n}} \to 0\,,$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$, which implies (2.2).

Now, let $q' > \frac{n}{n-p}$ ($q = \frac{q'}{q'-1}$). In this case,

$$\int\limits_{\varepsilon<|x-b|<\varepsilon_0} \frac{1}{|x-b|^{pq}}\, dm(x) = \omega_{n-1} \int\limits_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon_0} t^{n-\frac{pq'}{q'-1}-1} dt \leqslant \omega_{n-1} \int\limits_{0}^{\varepsilon_0} t^{n-\frac{pq'}{q'-1}-1} dt = \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{n-\frac{pq'}{q'-1}} \varepsilon_0^{n-\frac{pq'}{q'-1}},$$

and, consequently,

$$\frac{1}{I^p(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)} \int\limits_{\varepsilon<|x-b|<\varepsilon_0} \frac{Q(x)}{|x-b|^p}\, dm(x) \leqslant \|Q\|_{L^{q'}(G)} \left(\frac{\omega_{n-1}}{n-\frac{pq'}{q'-1}} \varepsilon_0^{n-\frac{pq'}{q'-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\log \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-p},$$

which implies (2.2). Now a desired conclusion follows from Lemma 2.1. □

5 Examples

First of all, let us give some examples of mappings obeying (1.1) and (1.3). It is known that, for an arbitrary quasiregular mapping $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$, one has

$$M(\Gamma) \leq N(f, A)K_O(f)M(f(\Gamma))$$

for some constant $K_O(f) \geqslant 1$, for an arbitrary Borel set A in the domain D such that $N(f, A) < \infty$ and an arbitrary family Γ of curves γ in A (see [MRV$_1$, Theorem 3.2] or [Ri, Theorem 6.7, Chap. II]).

Observe that $N(y, f, A)$ is Lebesgue measurable for any Borel measurable set A (see [RR, Theorem of section IV.1.2]).

Now, to give some another examples. Set at points $x \in D$ of differentiability of f

$$\|f'(x)\| = \max_{h\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\}} \frac{|f'(x)h|}{|h|}, J(x, f) = \det f'(x),$$

and define for any $x \in D$ and $p \geqslant 1$

$$K_{O,p}(x, f) = \begin{cases} \frac{\|f'(x)\|^p}{|J(x,f)|}, & J(x, f) \neq 0, \\ 1, & f'(x) = 0, \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

We say that a property P holds for *p-almost every (p-a.e.)* curves γ in a family Γ if the subfamily of all curves in Γ, for which P fails, has p-modulus zero. Recall that a mapping $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to have *N-property (by Luzin)* if $m\left(f\left(S\right)\right) = 0$ whenever $m(S) = 0$ for $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Similarly, f has the *N^{-1}-property* if $m\left(f^{-1}(S)\right) = 0$ whenever $m(S) = 0$.

If $\gamma : \Delta \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a locally rectifiable curve, then there is the unique nondecreasing length function l_γ of Δ onto a length interval $\Delta_\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}$ with a prescribed normalization $l_\gamma(t_0) = 0 \in \Delta_\gamma$, $t_0 \in \Delta$, such that $l_\gamma(t)$ is equal to the length of the subcurve $\gamma|_{[t_0,t]}$ of γ if $t > t_0$, $t \in \Delta$, and $l_\gamma(t)$ is equal to minus length of $\gamma|_{[t,t_0]}$ if $t < t_0$, $t \in \Delta$. Let $g : |\gamma| \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a continuous mapping, and suppose that the curve $\widetilde{\gamma} = g \circ \gamma$ is also locally rectifiable. Then there is a unique non–decreasing function $L_{\gamma,g} : \Delta_\gamma \to \Delta_{\widetilde{\gamma}}$ such that $L_{\gamma,g}\left(l_\gamma(t)\right) = l_{\widetilde{\gamma}}(t)$ for all $t \in \Delta$. We say that a mapping $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is *absolutely continuous on paths with respect to p-modulus,* write $f \in ACP_p$, if for p-a.e. curve $\gamma : \Delta \to D$ the function $L_{\gamma,f}$ is locally absolutely continuous on all closed intervals of Δ.

A following result is a insignificant amplification of one classical result for quasiregular mappings (see [Ri, Theoreme 2.4, Ch. II]).

Theorem 5.1. *Let a mapping $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be differentiable a.e. in D, have N- and N^{-1}-properties, and ACP_p-property for some $p \geqslant 1$, too. Let A in D be a Borel set, and let Γ be a family of paths in A. Assume that $q : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty]$ is a Borel function obeying $K_{O,p}(x, f) \leqslant q(f(x))$ for a.e. $x \in D$. Then relation*

$$M_p(\Gamma) \leq \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho'^p(y)N(y, f, A)q(y)dm(y)$$

holds for every $\rho'\in \operatorname{adm} f(\Gamma)$.

Proof. Let $\rho'\in \operatorname{adm} f(\Gamma)$. Set $\rho(x)=\rho'(f(x))\|f'(x)\|$ for $x\in A$ and $\rho(x)=0$ otherwise. Let Γ_0 be a family of all locally rectifiable curves of Γ, where f is absolutely continuous. Since $f\in ACP_p$, we obtain that $M_p(\Gamma)=M_p(\Gamma_0)$. Now, by [Ri, Lemma 2.2, Ch. II], $\int\limits_{\gamma}\rho(x)|dx|=\int\limits_{\gamma}\rho'(f(x))\|f'(x)\||dx|\geq\int\limits_{f\circ\gamma}\rho'(y)|dy|\geq 1$, and. consequently, $\rho\in\operatorname{adm}\Gamma_0$. By change of variables formula for differentiable mappings, which have N and N^{-1}-properties (see [MRSY$_2$, Proposition 8.3]),

$$M_p(\Gamma)=M_p(\Gamma_0)\leq\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n}\rho^p(x)\,dm(x)=\int\limits_{A}\frac{\rho'^p(f(x))\|f'(x)\|^pJ(x,f)}{J(x,f)}\,dm(x)\leq$$

$$\leq\int\limits_{A}\rho'^p(f(x))q(f(x))|J(x,f)|\,dm(x)=\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n}\rho'^p(y)N(y,f,A)q(y)dm(y)\,.$$

Here we take into account, that $J(x,f)\neq 0$ a.e., see [MRSY$_2$, Proposition 8.3]. Theorem is proved. $\Box$

From theorem 5.1, we obtain the following.

Corollary 5.1. *Let a mapping* $f:D\to\mathbb{R}^n$ *be differentiable a.e. in* D, *have* N- *and* N^{-1}-*properties, and* $f\in W^{1,p}_{loc}$ *for some* $p\geqslant 1$, *too. Let* A *in* D *be a Borel set, and let* Γ *be a family of paths in* A. *Assume that* $q:\mathbb{R}^n\to[0,\infty]$ *is a Borel function obeying* $K_{O,p}(x,f)\leqslant q(f(x))$ *for a.e.* $x\in D$. *Then relation*

$$M_p(\Gamma)\leq\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n}\rho'^p(y)N(y,f,A)q(y)dm(y)$$

holds for every $\rho'\in\operatorname{adm} f(\Gamma)$.

Proof. As known, $W^{1,p}_{loc}=ACL^p$ (see [Maz, Theorems 1 and 2, section 1.1.3]). However, $ACL^p\subset ACP_p$ by Fuglede's Lemma (see [Va$_1$, Theorem 28.2]). The rest follows from the Theorem 5.1. $\Box$

From theorems 1.1 and 5.1, and from Corollaries 4.1 and 4.2, we obtain the following.

Corollary 5.2. *Let* $p\in(n-1,n]$, *and let a mapping* $f:D\to\mathbb{R}^n$ *be differentiable a.e. in* D, *have* N- *and* N^{-1}-*properties, and* ACP_p-*property for some* $p\geqslant 1$, *too. Let* A *in* D *be a Borel set, and let* Γ *be a family of paths in* A. *Assume that* $q:\mathbb{R}^n\to[0,\infty]$ *is a Borel function obeying* $K_{O,p}(x,f)\leqslant q(f(x))$ *for a.e.* $x\in D$. *Let* $Q:\mathbb{R}^n\to(0,\infty)$ *be a Lebesgue measurable function defined as follows:* $Q(y)=N(y,f,D)\cdot\max\{q(y),1\}$ *at* $y\in f(D)$, *and* $Q(y)\equiv 1$ *for* $y\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus f(D)$. *Assume that the function* Q *satisfies at least one of the following conditions:*

1) $Q\in FMO(y_0)$ *for every* $y_0\in f(D)$,

2) $q_{y_0}(r)=O\left(\left[\log\frac{1}{r}\right]^{n-1}\right)$ *as* $r\to 0$, *for every* $y_0\in f(D)$, *where* $q_{y_0}(r)$ *is defined by (1.5).*

3) for every $y_0 \in f(D)$ there exists $\delta(y_0) > 0$ such that for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ $\int\limits_{\varepsilon}^{\delta(y_0)} \frac{dt}{t^{\frac{n-1}{p-1}} q_{y_0}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(t)} < \infty$ *and, besides that,* $\int\limits_{0}^{\delta(y_0)} \frac{dt}{t^{\frac{n-1}{p-1}} q_{y_0}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(t)} = \infty$.

4) $\int\limits_{\varepsilon}^{\delta_0} \frac{dt}{t q_{y_0}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}(t)} < \infty$ *for every $y_0 \in f(D)$, some $\delta_0 = \delta_0(y_0)$ and small enough $\varepsilon > 0$, and* $\int\limits_{0}^{\delta_0} \frac{dt}{t q_{y_0}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}(t)} = \infty$ *for every $y_0 \in f(D)$ and some $\delta_0 = \delta_0(y_0)$.*

5) $p \in (n-1, n)$ and a function Q satisfies $Q \in L^s_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $s \geqslant \frac{n}{n-p}$.

Then f is open and discrete.

Corollary 5.3. In particular, the conclusion of Corollary 5.2 holds whenever $f \in W^{1,p}_{loc}$ instead of $f \in ACP_p$.

Generally speaking, the condition of preservation of orientation of the mapping f in all statements presented above cannot be omitted. An example of a mapping f with finite distortion of length that does not preserve orientation and is such that $M(f(\Gamma)) = M(\Gamma)$, i.e., $Q \equiv 1$, in inequality $Q \equiv 1$,, but is neither discrete nor open is given in [MRSY$_2$, section 8.10, Ch. 8].

We also give another example. Let $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. We define f as the identical mapping in the closed domain $\{x_n \geqslant 0\}$ and set $f(x) = (x_1, \ldots, -x_n)$ for $x_n < 0$. Note that f is a mapping f preserves the lengths of curves. Therefore, f satisfies inequality (1.3) for $Q \equiv 1$. This mapping is discrete but not open. For example, under the mapping f the ball $\mathbb{B}^n$ is mapped into the semisphere $\{y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : |y| < 1, y_i \geqslant 0\}$, which is not an open set in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Remark 5.1. Results obtained in the paper can be applied to various classes of plane and space mappings (see [MRSY$_2$] and [GRSY]).

We mainly deal with a case $p \in (n-1, n]$. Unfortunately, we can not give any conclusion about discreteness and openness of mappings satisfying same modulus relations at arbitrary $p \geqslant 1$.

References

[AS] T. Adamowicz and N. Shanmugalingam, "Non-conformal Loewner type estimates for modulus of curve families", *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, **35** (2010), 609—626.

[BGMV] C.J. Bishop, V.Ya. Gutlyanskii, O. Martio, M. Vuorinen, "On conformal dilatation in space", *Intern. J. Math. and Math. Scie.*, **22** (2003), 1397–1420.

[BGR] B.V. Bojarski, V.Ya. Gutlyanskiĭ, V.I. Ryazanov, "On the Beltrami equations with two characteristics", *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations*, **54**:10 (2009), 935–950.

[Cr$_1$] M. Cristea, "Local homeomorphisms having local ACL^n inverses", *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations*, **53**:1 (2008), 77–99.

[Cr_2] M. Cristea, "Open discrete mappings having local ACL^n inverses", *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations*, **55**:1–3 (2010), 61–90.

[Fe] H. Federer, *Geometric Measure Theory*, Springer: Berlin etc., 1969.

[Gol_1] A. Golberg, "Homeomorphisms with finite mean dilatations", *Contemporary Math.*, **382** (2005), 177–186.

[Gol_2] A. Golberg, "Differential properties of (α, Q)–homeomorphisms", *Further Progress in Analysis, World Scientific Publ.*, (2009), 218–228.

[GRSY] V. Ya. Gutlyanskii, V. I. Ryazanov, U. Srebro, E. Yakubov, *The Beltrami Equation: A Geometric Approach.* Developments in Mathematics, vol. 26., New York etc.: Springer, 2012.

[He] J. Heinonen, *Lectures on Analysis on metric spaces*, New York: Springer Science+Business Media, 2001.

[HW] W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, *Dimension Theory*, Princeton: Princeton Univ. Press, 1948.

[IR] A. Ignat'ev and V. Ryazanov, "Finite mean oscillation in the mapping theory", *Ukr. Math. Bull.*, **2**:3 (2005), 403–424.

[IM] T. Iwaniec and G. Martin, *Geometrical Function Theory and Non–Linear Analysis*, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2001.

[KO] P. Koskela and J. Onninen, "Mappings of finite distortion: Capacity and modulus inequalities", *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **599** (2006), 1–26.

[$MRSY_1$] O. Martio, V. Ryazanov, U. Srebro, E. Yakubov, "Mappings with finite length distortion", *J. Anal. Math.*, **93** (2004), 215–236.

[$MRSY_2$] O. Martio, V. Ryazanov, U. Srebro, E. Yakubov, *Moduli in Modern Mapping Theory*, New York: Springer Science + Business Media, LLC, 2009.

[MRV_1] O. Martio, S. Rickman, J. Väisälä, "Definitions for quasiregular mappings", *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I. Math.*, **448** (1969), 1–40.

[Maz] V. Maz'ya, *Sobolev Spaces*, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1985.

[Mikl] V.M. Miklyukov, "The relation distance of M.A. Lavrent'ev and prime ends on non–parametric surface", *Ukr. Math. Bull.*, **1**:3 (2004), 353–376.

[Na] R. Näkki, "Boundary behavior pf quasiconformal mappings in n-space", *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A1. Math.*, **484** (1970), 1–50.

[Pol] E.A. Poletskii, "The modulus method for non–homeomorphic quasiconformal mappings", *Mat. Sb.*, **83**:2 (1970), 261–272 (in Russian).

[RR] T. Rado and P.V. Reichelderfer, *Continuous Transformations in Analysis*, Berlin etc.: Springer–Verlag, 1955.

[Re_1] Yu.G. Reshetnyak, "Generalized derivatives and differentiability a.e.", *Math. Sb.*, **75**:3 (1968), 323–334 (in Russian).

[Re_2] Yu.G. Reshetnyak, *Space mappings with bounded distortion*, English transl.: Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989.

[Ri] S. Rickman, *Quasiregular mappings*, Berlin etc.: Springer-Verlag, 1993.

[RSY_1] V. Ryazanov, U. Srebro and E. Yakubov, "On strong solutions of the Beltrami equations", *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations*, **55**:1–3 (2010), 219–236.

[RSY_2] V. Ryazanov, U. Srebro and E. Yakubov, "On integral conditions in the mapping theory", *Ukr. Math. Bull*, **7**:1 (2010), 73–87.

[Sa] S. Saks, *Theory of the Integral*, New York: Dover Publ. Inc., 1964.

[Sev_1] E.A. Sevost'yanov, "On the openess and discreteness of mappings with unbounded characteristic of quasiconormality", *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal,* **63**:8 (2012), 1298–1305.

[TY] C.J. Titus and G.S. Young, "The extension of interiority with some applications", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.,* **103** (1962), 329–340.

[UV] A.D. Ukhlov and S.K. Vodop'yanov, "Sobolev spaces and mappings with bounded $(P;Q)$ – distortion on Carnot groups", *Bull. Sci. Mat.*, **54**:4 (2009), 349–370.

[Va_1] J. Väisälä, *Lectures on n – Dimensional Quasiconformal Mappings*, Lecture Notes in Math., **229**, Berlin etc.: Springer–Verlag, 1971.

[Va_2] J. Väisälä, "Modulus and capacity inequalities for quasiregular mappings", *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A 1 Math.*, **509** (1972), 1–14.

Evgeny Sevost'yanov
Zhitomir State University,
40 Bol'shaya Berdichevskaya Str., 10 008 Zhitomir, UKRAINE
Phone: +38 – (066) – 959 50 34,
Email: esevostyanov2009@mail.ru